

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Glen Morgan 00:00

So, I'm going to be bringing up here and introducing you our final headline speaker for the day. This is David Clements, and for those of you who don't know him, he is a seasoned attorney, former law professor, dedicated advocate for election integrity. First time I ever saw him speak many years ago. It was shortly after we met, talked about being Prosecutor of the year, helping secure eight first degree murder convictions. And after that, one of the things people don't realize is he was also heavily involved in defending a lot of the J6 people who were unjustly in prison, some friends of mine, they're heavily involved in that. And as a leading voice in election integrity, certainly been involved in doing a lot of stuff around the state. I'm just very excited to hear from today. This has been a great lineup on this topic here today, and I feel very honored to be here with them. Come join me in welcoming Dave Clements,

David Clements 01:20

Okay, everyone, find your seats. Class is in session. Turn off your cell phones. I will deduct one point from your final grade for every ring. Who here by show of hands, has no idea who I who I am.

Be honest with you, there's one person. Anyone else? Okay, so most people know who I am, but for the camera I'll give you the Cliff Notes version, former law professor, former prosecutor, the operative word is former, because I've been canceled at just about every single way that you can imagine. There was a time where if you Google my name, there was really good stuff. I was Prosecutor of the year in New Mexico in 2016, I was a two-time award winner of the Patricia Chris Moore Kingston Excellence Award, and I got suspended from the university, and the day after, I received their most prestigious award because I wouldn't subject our young people to the jazz to the mask mandate.

And so I had a giant bull's eye on my back for the better part of two years fighting against Covid and desperately trying to see if we can get Trump in. Because my battle initially really wasn't elections. It wasn't covid, it was the DEI bookwroke monster, where I was told you have to treat, you know, someone a certain way and use their pronouns and, you know, I came from a hearty prosecutorial background, and the idea that I had to call someone they or them was, you know, so asinine that it just wasn't going to happen. And so, hey, folks in the back, either come sit down or go outside, guys, I'll make it work for a while, but I didn't. I didn't fly across the country to talk over him.

Come on, guys, in the back, in or out. In or out. I am a curmudgeon. Okay, I think we're almost there. So, so the background is this, like, look, the university, and my experience was, it was all about trying to have some level of sanity and common sense come back.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

And if Trump didn't get in, I knew if Biden got in, it was it wasn't going to get better. It was going to be insanity on steroids. And we all know what happened on November 3, 2020, and my university president decided to say out loud that Trump supporters were behind a coup at the Capitol that was going to get sheath through zip ties. Now, I don't know if you've ever watched like terrorist that he's like Die Hard or die hard too. I've never seen anyone take over a country with zip ties.

Fascinating. So, I was on to my journey, and by standing up to my university president, I posted a video on YouTube, which was effectively my resignation to the world, because it went viral. I went on Tucker Carlson show and several others, and it just got to the point where. Now, if you Google my name, it says all kinds of not so flattering things. And now, six years later, I'm here, a little fatter, a little uglier, a little grayer, but I'm still here, and I think we've entered into an amazing period.

So, I am actually more optimistic than I have been in a long time. And I try to help wood Well; I want to try to help you see what I see. So, if you could bring up my digital postcard on the on the screen, not, not number one. Just, just give me the whole, the whole thing, closing that out, because I'm not going to go in order. My brain doesn't work that way.

Just leave it that way. When I go around from state to state, and I talk with your politicians and I talk with your experts or hopeful candidates, I carry with me the most giant black cloud, because it's like, how, how bad you want me to depress you because you're not going to lose your race because you know you don't have enough money or enough signs in people's yards. You're not going to lose your election because, you know you don't have your canned talking points down. We are largely participating in political theater in our elections. What you're up against - Go ahead and give me cell number three.

This is what you're up against. And some of you might be looking at this going, I don't know what that means, but I'm going to explain it to you. Go ahead and press pause, if you would just press pause. Pause that baby.

This is a graphic that showcases, I think, called a cast vote record summary. And this is an (06:42) actual election that was run in my home state. We authored it eight different counties, and the idea of a cast vote record and why this record is vital. It's actually the best record that we had access to to determine whether or not someone has rigged the result within the black boxes. And we'll talk about Idaho and Washington's problem, and getting these records.

There's a reason why you can't get them, because they usually showcase massive, systemic fraud. But the whole premise of what we're, what we can glean from this graph is based on this thing called the law of large numbers. Anybody here familiar with the law of large numbers? Okay, some. Okay, so let's give you context. Who here has ever participated in a poll, a scientific poll, where perhaps it's Get out the vote season, and someone calls you up and they want to know who you're going to vote for.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

And the idea of what makes a poll scientific event, it has to adhere to the law of large numbers. And the idea is this, what stuff? What's the cumulative population for the state of Idaho? A million people. Okay, that's great round number. I love that.

So, the utility of the poll is you don't have to call up a million people to figure out where Idaho stands on a particular petition. You randomly sample 100 or 200 and the idea is, is over time. If you have a large enough sample set, you'll see the variability of where people stand starting to flat line. So on this bottom, bottom portion of this graph, see how there's a wiggle, wiggle, wiggle before it it flattens into a flat line.

Wiggle, wiggle thing. So before you get to about 200 you really don't know who's going to win, because the random sample is too small. But once you get a large enough said, provided that it's raining, it will flat line at about the same time or the same place every single time. So go ahead. Play that.

Play that. Just let it. Let it roll here. So when we look at elections, this is what you would want to see. This is what you want to see.

This is what your cast vote record should look like. So go pause it again. This right here is the cumulative ratio votes between Trump and Biden in the 2020 election. And if, and we're only looking at early mail in absentee ballots here, and there's a there's a reason why we're only looking at early mail in absentee because we want to make sure that there's complete randomness in. And the idea that we can ensure randomness is that none of you request your ballot at the same time.

Not everyone fills out your ballot the same time. Not all of you return your ballot the same time, which means that the order in which they're received by the clerks should be absolutely ranked. Does that make sense? So, it makes sense that as long as we have a random shuffle of these ballots, we this is what we are seeing in the actual data we find like so that's the law of large numbers come to light in your election dragon. Now, when we actually look at the order of votes on how the election was actually run, it's the top visual.

So go ahead and press play from that, notice that there's some injection of ballots for preferred candidate and a layer blind slope or it's a predetermined outcome. Pause it again. So in order for this phenomenon to exist in real life, those randomly sorted mail in ballots that just arrive in the clerk's you know, box where they're you're going to run them through a tabulator. They're just taking them out of a box, and they're going to start sending them through that black box from ES&S for whatever vendor you use. It doesn't matter which one.

So in order to account to what we're seeing here up at bend point, now you'd have like a batch of 100 votes. I think that's what we're looking at here. Well, this is 25 records, but let's use a round mobile like 100 votes, and the first ratio that we see between Trump and Biden is 9 for Biden, 10 for Trump. It's like, okay, well, that's just one batch. If it's random, it might be

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

completely opposite the next batch that we get. The next batch comes in, and it's 89 for Biden, 11 for Trump. Okay, now that's kind of weird, because we now got at the beginning of the glide slope, the next batch of ballots that are scanned to the tabulator shown 88-12 then 87-13 84-16 85-15 so in order for this to emerge with that predictive linear drawing towards a predetermined set point, that's how your randomly sorted and collected ballots would have to arrange themselves. Do you see how insane that is? No. So keep playing that.

Play the venue. This is what you're up against. Now I'm not telling you that this pattern is everywhere. We've examined about 1000 counties worth of Cast Vote Records. That's a lot.

That's 1/3 of the counties. And in urban areas, we see these phenomena in all 50 states where we can get them. That's what you're up against. And if your candidate doesn't have an answer for this, I can tell you why. For the most part, they're getting elected.

There are exceptions to the rules. It's because they, okay, co, something else show up. You're fine. Let's just show you something just in the presidential race, this is a Senate race, but keep playing and notice that you still have the same linear glide slope in the US Senate race in my state. So, it's not just Trump. And then this is in Arizona. The bottom one is a linear glide slope in I want to say that's Pima County, Arizona. So, we're finding this everywhere.

And in Arizona, that curve that you just saw was in 16 out of 17 counties, the same exact curve. So, this is the data that I look at from the machines we're not guessing about whether your elections are rigged. Go and close it out. We know it to the degree we can get these records and analyze them.

So, we're in Idaho. Do we have the cast vote records for analysis in Idaho? No. In fact, I was here several years ago when the Vice President for ES&S and one of your crotchety clerks was talking about how they couldn't, like their machines don't produce Cast Vote Records, that's what they said. Now, if you put the vice president under oath, which they have, or the president of ES&S, they have testified truthfully that not only do their machines produce Cast Vote Record summaries, they have to because it might be the law under the Help America Vote Act, in order for you to receive federal funding, you got to be compliant with federal law, which requires you have an auditable trail for your black boxes. Well, the auditable trail is the Cast Vote Record Summary. In fact, the NIST group, national science, or it's like Weights Measures, Institute of Science and Technology, or something like that. But they're the ones that basically create your accurate weight and measure for the government did a put out the public document concerning Cast Vote Records, and you know who they sitting in tenant audience was the public. That's right, it's for the public.

But when you request these through a public records request, you get gaslighted by a state attorney saying, no, no, we've got state law that says you can't have that. Well, that means you're violating federal law, and there's this thing called the Supremacy Clause, which makes that illegal. But we don't know, because we're confused, right? So that's what Trump begins.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

So, when I talk to candidates, where my eyes glaze over and I lose my patience with you, how do you unpack that?

I'm not even a math guy. I'm not Dr Frank. I don't get excited by the data. I don't never in my life. If you saw my grades in high school that I think I wouldn't be teaching you about the law of large numbers and PID controllers.

It's ridiculous. So, the title of my presentation is “Meeting Trump in the Middle and Restoring Election Integrity”, and historically, when I've come out to events, I've been trying to give the local groups kind of the “We the People” solution, how do we? How we build this from the ground up, knowing that most of your politicians, most, I'm saying most, because Theresa, you're wonderful, but everyone else, and so this, this pitch, would have been very, very different if, if Biden for Kamal was in power right now, but they're not. That's why I'm optimistic.

The pitch before was, find out whoever certifies your black box, your tabulator, because they certify these defective products, these fraud facilitating machines. Learn more about the machine than they know, confront them, expose them, and just put tremendous pressure so they can withhold certification to not deploy it to fat black box for use in your elections. It's a very grounded, practical thing where you have vast numbers, and there's more of you than just one person that certifies the black box.

The other group that I would have you target would be your canvassing board, and usually it's a de facto County Commission, or some mishmash of three to five people that after an election, two weeks later, someone shows up with a canvassing report. It's your clerk, and they brag about how wonderful your elections are, and the way that and they knew it is like, okay, Canvassing Board, it's great to be here.

What's your clerk's name here? Locally, Locke. Jennifer Locke, is she one your friends? Okay, that's what I thought. Jennifer Locke comes in, like, “Great news! Voter participation was wonderful, and we had all kinds of volunteers in the community come out that support us, and you were there. What's your name, Ma'am? Yes, let's play along. Sandy was a volunteer, and Sandy was was handing out I Voted stickers. Weren't you saying how many I Voted stickers you handed out Sandy - hundreds? Let's give Sandy a round of applause.” And then everyone's getting kind of puffed up. Way to go. We like Sandy here.

And then your name, sir, Mike. “Mike was a volunteer play along, please. Mike was handing out these wonderful felt tip pens so you could fill out your ballots. How many felt tip pens did you hand out, Mike? 200? 200 felt tip pens! A round of applause for Mike.”

So, then they present their canvassing reports about voter turnout and engagement and its stickers and its markers, and then they take a vote to certify the local results and and that's it.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Well, what happens if you have an engaged community that's been looking at all of the data for years, telling them this whole process is full of fraud! How do they shut you down? They give you two minutes to talk during public comment.

They never put you on the agenda. For any meaningful discourse, they may take you for a tour, which they took me for a tour at one of your clerk's offices here years ago. And I would catch them in all of these, different kind of little lies like this. This old curmudgeon clerk, you probably know what I'm talking about, was old guy, and he had like the cane and just it looks like the guy from “UP”, and I like, hey, do you have any internet access for your time with your tabulators? And he's like, no, we don't have that. They're closed systems. And I've heard that. I knew that he was gonna say that. And then I asked you, how do you transfer data from the tabulator to the Secretary of State?

And normally, there's foreign enough to tell you that they retrieve jump sticks from the tabulators to preserve the air gap, and they will electronically send to the Secretary of State through their election management systems computer.

And people started asking them, well, do you take ballot images? And he said, no, we don't scan the image of the ballot because it slows down our ability to send the results. So, within two minutes, he's already lied, because you're like, electronically sending the results that's not through the retrieval of some jump stick and uploading the ? management system, and I've heard them lie about they don't even have the ability to export that Cast Vote Record summary that I showed you. So, we've been lied to. So how long have you been lied to by ES&S?

Well, back in 2014 it was reported that you had over 14,000 of their voting machines that were affixed with Chip modems, the particular models at the S200, which you all use in Idaho.

And then we found out later that the number was actually closer to 30,000 and those machines are still in circulation by and large throughout the country, but we're still supposed to trust them. So that's a little bit of idle mode. You might have some other players but, but the point is this, I don't care which brand of machine on its Dominion now Liberty Vote, Harp or their Civic Unison, it's they all have the same functionality capabilities for Subversion.

So I look at all this and I'm sitting there going, okay, I've tried to have people maintain their courage and not certify fraudulent admission needs from functional processes. And what would happen is your clerks would call up the AG and make referrals for their prosecution.

And then places like Washington, who hear came over from Washington? You've got laws on the books that they'll make felons out of you, if you don't agree with the process, it's like Stalin came over and started writing code. So, one thing you have to understand is that your legislators aren't writing your election codes. The vendors are. The vendors write your election codes.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

What they do is they wine and dine a politician. Get the politician to sponsor the bill, and it gets voted on it, and all the politicians love those bills because they ensure their incumbency. Does that make sense? Okay? So, this was the fight were in for years.

Just say no, like the drug kid bait, just send more. And we had commissioners that were willing to say no until their lives were ruined. And I still think to some degree, we need to have people that are courageous enough just to say no. And you know, I was talking with Ruth Ryan outside, and she's like, we've got some wonderful young people that could be filling important positions on a canvassing board or local attorneys, and I'm not sure that they're up for saying none, because they'll, they'll be convicted as felons. And I get that, I really do that you have to understand when, when I had my job at the university, I knew what would happen if I said no to the job for my students, I'm gonna get fired.

That was the outcome. But I said no anyway. And I think the reason why I said NO is I remember my father and I remembered my grandfather. And there's something about the quality of men 30 years ago, 50 years ago, that's very different than today. Now what they're not just imagine your own father and grandfathers.

It's like, let's get this straight. You want me to put an experimental drug in a person, and we have no studies? Not gonna do it. No, like that. That like we all remember there was a time where you could say no, and the community would come together, and we didn't know how to do that in 2020 for the most part, it's the old timers, it's it's you all like you all are beautiful, but like your reason why you're here is because you remember there was a time when this country was great, and where are our young people?

You sacrificed them on the altar of public education, and where are we at. So the reason why things had shifted and changed in my optimism. And I don't have to give you the same presentation that I did before on Nullification and First Amendment participation, shoring up and, you know, peacefully assembling to petition your government against your tyranny is because Trump somehow got in November 2024 and it's like, Professor Clements, you just showed me that that fine looking systemic election fraud chart, if you're right, how in the world did Trump get in? And that's a great question. It's not divine intervention, but it is, it is.

So we're going to explain that as we go along, and I do my little weave on what's going what happened? So, as I said before the title of my speech is “Meeting Trump in the Middle”, and I actually got to meet Trump. You know, I got to have dinner with President Trump, and this was back in August of 2021 when he was out of office. I got invited to Bedminster, and I had just left the cyber symposium, and I flew there on Mike Lindell's plane. I mean, talk about surreal, and I was almost late because Mike stopped for drive through at Kentucky Fried Chicken. It's, you know, like that's so Mike, it is. But I get there and I come in, and Trump's sitting at his desk, and he looks up and goes, it's you, it's you, and I'm going, it's me in a room, and I didn't know what he was talking I said, I just got done watching you for two hours on the TV, and he

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

was very you know, he praised my presentation, which is really great. You don't know if it's just false flattery, but it's great to hear. It would be that's better than the alternative. You were terrible, you're awful. Oh God, someone cancel this. Meaning, yeah, they're fired.

And and he went into kind of autopilot and said things that you've heard him say a million times, like they're so unfair to me and and all those things. And I think he just was trying to get a sense of who I was, and I got great advice before I met with him, which is, don't try to impress the man. Don't try to act like you know more than him, because you don't. You just don't. And so he tells me how terrible everyone's treating him, and at some point, **he stops, looks at me and just says, okay, so what do we do now?**

I want you to think about that. If you're in my shoes, the leader of the free world, whether he is in office or not, is asking you for an answer on the most complex, devastating thing that we ever encountered as a nation. And you have to fight your instincts to not be the pitch man, to make him sense, you know, make him think that you're something, that you're not like, you know, be I'm, you know, if you only had me and relying to my advice, we would be fine. And there's a lot of hubris that goes on in these patron movements, where people really think they got it. And I looked at him, I said, Mr.

Mr. President, I've read the lawsuits filed by your attorneys, and they're good lawsuits. I get them. They're great, black better law. The problem isn't the black literal law. The problem is you.

You are the problem, meaning whenever it is that you represent as a threat to the deep state, they've thrown the black literal law out the window, so I can file something with 10 months for information at the time, and it'll likely be dismissed twice as fast. Now this is my chance to show him. Here's my chart, some, I got the doctor for charts like this is what's going on. This is what we do. We do this. We would motivate this person this we going in this courtroom, and I'm sitting there going, I've looked at all the cases and I have, I see a cancerous rot with the judiciary that I don't see a way in the court of law.

Now, six years later, I've been absolutely vindicated on that statement, absolutely been in you tell me about the wild successes that we've had in courtrooms where 99% of the cases have been dismissed for restraint or lack standing. But he said something that stuck with me. It was as it to check that premise.

Are you sure? Said all the courts, and the way that he asked the question, you know? So they go, well, geez, you appointed some of these judges maybe, maybe you know which courtroom that we should file? Well, let me know. **And I think what he was pointing to is there's a whole court system that you guys don't think about at all.**

See, I'm a former prosecutor in a civil court system. Then we have criminal jurisdiction, and we do crimes and Rico, racketeering. I've done racketeering cases. I've taken down drug

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

trafficking organizations that work with the cartels. I know how that works, **but here's the problem, there's no racketeering case on earth that can take down entire agencies of our government that are captured because they have badges and resources and spooks.**

People get disappeared; they get killed. That's what we're up against. And I've had a RICO case for from indictment to verdict took seven years, and these were against people that looked like cold hearted killers with tattoos on their face and everything else. You tell me the caliber warrior they're getting compared to a Hillary Clinton or James Comey or John Brennan. What happens when the suspect is the director of the CIA?

I'm sorry, guys, if you think a RICO case is suited to take on the leaders of our intelligence agencies, they're not. I've done the cases, mastered the system. When the enemy is from within and it's not exterior, and you've got wholesale infiltration. What's your plan legally? And the answer is, is the military feared? And, the military is the only way.

So, there's two things that you have to look towards. Number one, where in history, you find precedence for what I'm talking about, you got to go all the way back to the Civil War. That's one point off you're told that Grady younger average shoots, and I've had students come in with sod eyes. I had it A and you'd knock me down to it 89 and now I don't have the same GPT on the server. There is no real test here.

I've got no power. So, before I was so kindly interrupted, we were talking about the military and the Civil War and in Lincoln, the person of Lincoln, and Lincoln's controversial, especially if you ever lived in the South like I did, Lincoln was a bad name, use a bad word where I grew up, because what did he do? **He acted unconstitutionally. He suspended the writ of habeas corpus.** And guess what?

Under Article 2 he doesn't have that power. **That's an Article One**, power that's reserved to Congress. And so, I'm paraphrasing, but Lincoln was known for saying something along the lines of, why am I driving myself crazy trying to observe the laws when I'm in the midst of lawlessness, I'm in the midst of rebellion and invasion and a confederacy, and you guys want to nickel and dime me on quelling that rebellion, because that's what the suspension would do. It would allow them to do targeted arrests, and we would deal with your due process rights later. That's the whole point of the suspension is you got due process rights, but until we neutralize the threat that is you, we're putting you on ice.

And so, he started suspending habeas corpus in very targeted ways, and the Supreme Court ruled, and guess what they ruled? They ruled it was unconstitutional. You can't do that.

Well, Lincoln did it anyway. Now basically, well, let's see, enforce me now at some point Congress, the Union Congress, voted in favor of suspending the word of habeas corpus about a year Later.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

But what are we learning from this? Did Lincoln really have the backing of Congress, or were was they acting in defiance of Congress? defiance. And when the Supreme Court says you can't view that, but he does it anyway. Do you have a unified government? So fast forward to 2020, 2022, 2024, sounds a lot like where we're at.

35:00 Give me Slide Seven. The last one, this is To Save America Act. Let's scroll up a little bit. Let's talk about how controversial This is. **Number one, all voters must show voter ID.**

Shock, right? **Two, all voters must show proof of citizenship in order to vote.** Oh, now he's lost the populist movement. In fact, why wouldn't we want illegal invaders to not vote for our representation? **Number three, no mail in ballots, except for illness, military, etc.**

Number four, this is where it gets really dicey, no men and women's sports and number five, this is what killed him, because everyone's for this. No transgender mutilation surgery for children.

Now, if you poll Democrats and Republicans that aren't part of the actual US Congress, or the Senate, 80% approval both the parties, everyone agrees on this. Now, in theory, do Republicans control the House? Call it out, yes.

Do we control the Senate?

36:51

Have we gotten a vote on this? Yeah,

David Clements 37:00

you tell me who the enemy is, John Thune, but you don't have the votes. We don't have the votes to do this. We're not splitting atoms. This isn't like complex tax reform. This is like chemical castration.

We want to stop that and show your ID, and we can't bring that to a vote. So close that out. You think Trump is let down and simply going, you know, something, gosh, being a good try. Now we're screwed, because I can't get John Thune to get these people in order. The x is at the top, right there in the center.

(38:00 – 38:48) – Page 10-11 “Give me Slide number two. We're going to figure this out. I'll read this for you. The Democrats refuse to vote, and can have Republicans refuse to vote for voter ID or citizenship. The reason is very simple.

They want to continue to cheat in elections. This was not what our founders desired I have searched the depths of legal arguments not yet articulated or vetted on the subject, and will be

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

presenting an irrefutable one. In the very near future, there will be voter ID for the midterm elections. This is keyed whether approved by Congress or not, and this, this includes no mail in ballots. Okay?

That is the language, ladies and gentlemen, of a president that is relying on national security, war powers, right? That is not the we are ready to say, okay, you want to play. I don't need your help. So, we have to fast forward to a case called **The Youngstown Steel decision**, which gives us the definitive opinion on where the President's powers start and stop in the context of war.

And in that case, Harry Truman was president at the time we were racing ourselves for war in Korea, and he started seizing steel at steel mills. And someone said, can you do that? And the concurrence was written by Justice Jackson, who was a Nuremberg prosecutor. And Justice Jackson laid it out. And I'll try to give you the Cliff Notes version.

It's based on this, the President's war powers are at their zenith, heightened when he works upon Congress. It gets iffy in the twilight area where Congress is silent and where he is diametrically opposed. In defiance of Congress, his powers are at their lowest ebb, which means right out the gate. You got a row to hoe. So, who here read the recent election integrity, the executive order issued by Trump?

Yeah, it's pretty benign. People were texting it, going. You think this is good? I'm sitting there going, **Where's the nuclear option here? Where do we where's the part where we hang people and I'm cyclical.**

Wow, this is actually brilliant, because he's using existing black letter law the Help America Vote Act, the NVRA, (the National Voter Registration Act), and many other fellow provisions, and it's all about enforcing federal law in a way that requires no buy in whatsoever from your fickle, unreliable state clerks. What do I mean by that? Basically, **he's commandeering US Postal (CLEMETS 41:00) Service.** Yeah, a federal agency was and says states, **you don't get to use USPS to mail out your ballots in Idaho or Washington unless your ballots are prepared on (August) list, the one that DOGE looked at last year and started pulling 200-year-old people off, non-US citizens,** and everyone wonder. Well, I guess, I guess the Elon experiment was so waste time, because what happened, **this is what happened.**

They were curating the list and saying, this is what to use, DHS screen and approved voter list. Also, what we've looked at through the Social Security Act or Social Security Administration to make sure that we've got the most up to date list. This is what you have to use. And if you don't want to use it, you don't have to you just don't get to use and post the service. You got pay your own way, and you don't get to use federal money.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

This is key now in order to use your black boxes, your tabulators, your election equipment in all 50 states, every single state took HAVA money. That's the carrot and the stick. You don't want to use federal compliance or federal laws. You don't get to take our money, but if you take my money, you have to adhere to the federal laws. Isn't that brilliant?

Yeah. So, some of you going, well, that sounds great. **So, what happens when your state officials drag their feet, and they will, and they don't want to use their lists, but they want the money?** The President, can contact the treasurer, Scott Bessent, and say, Mr. Bessent, Scotty. Scotty, Scotty.

I need you to press pause. **He can cancel the disbursements. And he's been showing you that he has, in fact, been canceling disbursements on things really small and all the fraud that's going on there, some of the banking fraud that we're seeing over in the Iran conflict on who was funding Khomeini and Bessent is a savage in the best kind of way. He's amazing. He might be my favorite cabinet member.**

It's just surprising. But **so, he's got enough congressional laws on the books that he's working with that under the War Powers decision. Guess where he what he's doing? He's actually working at the height of his powers. He's not inventing new legislation.**

He doesn't need Congress to pass new laws. He's just looking at the laws and no one reads and I've read those laws, and it's great. So, no post service for you, no money for you, use our list, and the only ballots that go out better be on our list. That's brilliant, folks, which may change a lot of elections or consequential now that's not going to get us all the way there, because we still have these rigged machines. And they showed you that's a national security issue; that's a critical infrastructure issue.

(44:08) So in order for the President to sit back and go, Okay, now I mean, I mean I'm in territory where I don't have laws on the books, and I'm in active defiance, that means he has to resort to his Article Two powers, His plenary authority as Commander chief, and he's got to be prepared to say, just like Lincoln did, let's see you enforce it. And I'll give you an example that perhaps you can relate to. When I was a law professor, I'd have to do pop quizzes and test people's knowledge about the Constitution, and I'd say, look, let's think of a hypothetical. Let's say that the current sitting Congress is getting upset that they can't do the same amount of money laundering they were doing in Ukraine through FTX. And all the different scandals, and President is just not going to war the way that we want him to.

Because in years past, we could always count on people getting us into forever wars. And somehow, you know, certain congressional members bank accounts go from, you know, 200 grand to 40 million. How's that happen? But it happens, and we really need to open up the funding that we had in Ukraine. But President Trump isn't helping Zelensky out.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

He's not treating him like the new Winston Churchill. And so this is what Congress does. They pass this thing called the benevolent help the children of Ukraine act, and it's bipartisan. Well, this is key. It's bipartisan.

So, Democrats and Republicans together reaching across the aisle and voting together for the benevolent treatment of the kids in Ukraine Act, which allows them, you know, to direct, troop movements and make sure then things are taken care of. Because we can't trust Trump. He criticized, you know, Candace Owens and the Pope. We get, we got one, you know, he's crazy. So, we've gone to take matters into our own hands.

Y'all follow me so far in the hypothetical, and not only did they get a bipartisan vote, Michael Jackson was raised from the dead and wrote a new song that was a sequel to we are in the world. Remember, we are the world. And it's called we love Ukraine. So every every celebrity that you've seen is now around one microphone, and they're just telling me how much they love Ukraine, so baby energy, the pop culture fervor around this is looking good. So Trump sends his lawyer if they file an injunction, and he goes to the Supreme Court, and the Supreme Court wiki now all those mean dirty looks that any Amy Coney Barrett gets trumped.

We start figuring out what team she plays for, and she writes the majority opinion and rules that that Act is constitutional. Just go with me on the hypothetical. Now, I'm fine. Most lawyers are taught that when the Supreme Court says something, it's the final authority and it is the law. Most of you have heard that.

So, my question to my students, in light of the fact that's bipartisan, it's about the children. Maybe there was a song from Michael Jackson crying out loud. I thought he was dead and the Supreme Court just said it's absolutely constitutional. What can trump do? Does Trump have to go along with him?

Absolutely not. He's the COMMANDER IN CHIEF. His plenary authority under Article 2 requires that he'd maintain his oath of office to take care of Plaza, etc. He has to say no. So where is he?

Talk about Article Two, let's, let's look at cell six.

Page 13 – 14 48:15

President Trump 48:18 – 48:05

Article two, where I had the right to do whatever I want as president, but I don't even talk about that. It's a thing called Article Two. Nobody ever mentions Article Two. More importantly, Article Two allows me to do whatever I want. Article Two would have allowed me to fire him, but I want you to go to fire. You know why? Because I watched Richard Nixon go around firing everybody, and that didn't work out too well. So very simply, Article Two would allow me to do I could have done anything I wanted. I don't even bring it up, because we don't even get there.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Absolutely I have Article Two. It gives me all of these rights at a level that nobody has ever seen before. We don't even talk about Article 2.

David Clements (49:03)

Someone's been trying to tell you his plan all Yeah, all along, and he's been telling you that this, this issue with mail in ballots, is a national security issue. So, which goes along the lines with what I've been sharing with you about people, war, powers, decision and justice. Jackson's concurrence. Give us cell number one

Page 14 – (49:30 – 49:50)

“Voter ID is part of Homeland Security. Think of it. We're talking about two separate items, but they're really the same.

Voter ID is part of Homeland Security and citizenship. Proof of citizenship is part of Homeland Security.”

Okay, ***so he is framing the debate on the things that are afflicting Idaho and Washington*** with the prevalence of mail in ballots no voter ID as **national security issues**, where he can; go back to cell number two, scroll down, fight with Congress whether approved by POTUS or not. So when I tell you this, I'm not just speculating.

(Audio artifacts here – clean up)

I'm a trained lawyer, trained prosecutor. If you know how to read the tea leaves, **you see that there's a method to his madness.**

Now let's get back to the million-dollar question, how in the world did he win in 2024? Let's answer that, and this is where I'm gonna really challenge you. But you need to see if you can stay with me.

Joe Rogan. You know who Joe Rogan is? Had **Elon Musk on his podcast**, and you know he spoke with Elon many, many times. **He was at the Trump watch party at Mar A Lago on election night**, and he said something that really very curious to me is before the close of the polls, **Elon reported to Joe Rogan, pulled down his phone and said he always looks to the left before he tosses like he's lucky. He's doing a math problem.**

Trump won. Okay, that's okay, like allegedly, this was before the close of polls. This was earlier on, when we were all told, 10 o'clock at night, waiting for the returns. Hours before that, Elon looked at his phone, was looking at some type of app or tech or data, and said, Trump won. Now, if I did that, you'd say we already knew that you're crazy, but you're really crazy.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

But when Elon does it, you kind of have to sit and go, what do you mean? this **for talking about the guy that is responsible for SpaceX, and during Trump's first term, he helped deploy 1000s of satellites he has from gold Space Force, yeah. And for Elon to do that, he would actually have to have the highest national security clearances on Earth,** because there would be military civilian interface and to do this, and if you understand how data travels, sound like dominance is keyed **so When Trump appears be 10 steps ahead of everyone. It's because he restructured the military to make sure that Space Force was in a place of preeminence, and all of your shadow agencies are inferior to the NSA.** So, you can have a weaponized DOJ, you can have a weaponized FBI, chances are, if there was the FISA warrants or some type of military commission, they could monitor everything but everyone. Unless you think I'm making things up in **2021 Adam Schiff reported, oh, by the way, there was a grand jury that was investigating me and surveilling me since the beginning of Trump's first term.**

Now that changes things. **So, when Trump says, we have it all,** you have to ask yourself, how can they possibly have it all? Well, I would submit to you, satellite dominance-based force allows you to create the perfect scenario for a sting operation, because, you know, it's the only way I can make sense in how we won, knowing what I know about the architecture. So, there are two things I'll submit to you that were different about November 2024, compared to November of 2020, number one. **Everyone remembers, in November of 2020, the giant F curve, yes REMOVE.**

In the swing states, **Trump is winning by 10s, 50,000 hundreds of 1000s of votes.** And then all of a sudden, everyone's told to stop counting. Go home, we look at the election night reporting, and we see a reboot and a new batch of votes that were digitally. Remember that? **Did you see that in November 2024, with respect to Trump in the swing states?**

No, you did not. So, when Elon's looking at his phone and saying, Trump won, **it might have just been common sense dictated by the idea if there was a legitimate cyber intervention to stop a digital injection of reports that that you see on. TV. That's a new development. Seriously,** one of the things that people get confused about is that the data that you see in that cast vote record, those are supposedly votes that were being submitted through your black box tabulator.

Election Night reporting is NOT the same data, and this is key. We see the same algorithmic controls in election night reporting, and it's it's astonishing, but basically what we're seeing is perception engineering, so the way that your votes processed at some point after you send in your mail in ballot or vote with person, and it's run through the machines. You have two outputs where you get to see votes taglined in reporting. One is either on a website like your Secretary of State website. You're all clicking the button refreshing it to see if your guy's going to win or lose.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

You all follow me. The other place is on TV, where you got the tracker at the bottom that updates the totals and the entities that are known for exporting this information are Scytl and Edison (research). Edison's TV Scytl is basically underlying data aggregator that puts things off on websites, but it's the same data. We've confirmed it's the same data. Now, when we looked at the data, Election Act reporting data comes in in batches, just like the tabulators, and so we get a block of 100 votes, and again, we're looking at the cumulative ratio between Biden and Trump, and the first batch comes in and it's 5050, okay.

Next batch comes in, it's 5050, okay. Next batch comes in, it's 5050, now stop me if you've heard this one, the next batch comes in and it's 5050, how long you guys want me to do this for? 55 bat sets in a row. **The ratio between Trump and Biden was 5050, does that model real life behavior? Absolutely not.**

Then at some point, if you're dealing with a PID controller, a predictive control model, and I'll tell you what that is, we started seeing batch set after batch set that gave Biden, let's say, 50.1% of kind of making up the number October And Trump got 49.9% now you do that cumulatively for a batch that comes in, you now have tweet and given Biden just a slight advantage. So the next batch set comes in, it's the same thing. 51.1 49.9 49.9 next bad set comes in. Next back sub comes in, 96 batch sets in a row with that control. Now I'm not making this a day that this was the actual data that we confirmed from the state of Georgia, one of the swing states.

So what we're seeing in that distribution of data is evidence of a PID controller. It's a **Proportional, Integrative Derivative** function, and it's a closed feedback system, and you all use it every day, whether you're aware of it or not. Did you know that you use a PID functioned every day, your thermostat, your air conditioned and your cruise control. So if you want to drive someplace, you set on your cruise control and then say that you want to go 55 miles per hour, as long as you're on a flat, even terrain, you're coasting. But what happens when you go up the hill?

Are you still going 55? Gravity kicks in, and your speed decreases, but the PID controller kicks in, deploys the gas just enough to bring you back to the set point, which is 55 miles per hour. Everyone follow? So, then you crest the hill you're going downhill? Are you going 55 No.

Now your speedometer is faster. So, the PID controller kicks in, there's a deployment of the brake, and it slows you down until you get back to the set point. That's the same phenomena that we're seeing in the cast vote record summary and election night reporting, but they're two very different data streams. Now my critics will say it will take no one saying that the Election Act reporting is like concrete data. It's based on a model that includes projection, and this is they're, this is the way that they try to get.

Himself, plausible deniability. Now there's some projection going on. Okay, well, what's, what's the projection mob tell me about? Because my idea is this is when someone takes the data

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

from a a hardened system, a closed system and a tabulator, and they upload it, what would you expect to see the accumulation or addition of votes. Would you expect to see deletion or oscillation?

Would you expect to see your data do fit a son? It would be this, this, this, this. When we looked at the live data, we were seeing fluctuation, always, all right. Now the way that they'll try to explain that away, you're saying, no, no, no, you don't understand, we have exit pollsters outside and based on a formula, we get exit polls, and we aggregate that into the data. So I'm going to do this poll.

I do it everywhere I go on. Probably pull 100,000 people at this point. That's I may be exaggerating. The only Ohio goal, how many here have been stopped after you voted and participated in exit polling your entire life, show of hands. Now let's say that you got a polling company that's big.

Maybe it's got 200 employees. Could you deploy those employees to 3000 plus counts? How are you getting detail votes down to the precinct level? Do you think you have an exit pollster in some rural county? So how the world are we seeing the same phenomenon of fluctuation?

So, what I'm telling you is what Elon was reporting, if I, if I, if I put together the architecture, the ability to gaslight you into thinking that someone's winning based on their fraudulent, fake data that you see on a screen he was able to stop, or someone who was able to stop it. That was interdiction number one. Interdiction number two. **It was widely reported because this is what we saw in 2020 white van showing up with mail in ballots after the post polls. Fulton County, Detroit, Wayne County.**

We had reports in 2024 of interdiction efforts that stopped ballots from showing up at places like Pennsylvania, and they were counted so Trump was able to do at least two things in 2024 that were not done in 2020 he could stop the cheat from a standpoint of perception engineering, on what you saw on the screen. Because, look, look, whether you like it or not, when Fox News calls a race, it's over, people start conceding that night. You certify election two weeks later. How many people actually hold up to two weeks and fight? Most of them say, okay, best man won and they concede.

Did Trump ever concede? He didn't. Is this interesting? You are and we put you to sleep? Is this okay.

Now, what Trump did was masterful, because he didn't fix the problem everywhere. We just didn't see this happen in swing states concerning his race. But where did we see it? Did we see Keri Lake? Oh yeah.

Do you see what Sam Brown in Nevada, oh yeah, there are at least eight US Senate races that were firmly in the bar column on Election Day and weeks, two weeks

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

afterwards, ballots started shelling out, and all of a sudden, all those returns started favoring Democrats. There are 20 House races in California alone that were firmly in the hands of Republicans, and do you know for long they counted mail in ballots after election day? Two months, two months, and eventually they had enough, and the selected candidates won. So, it's beautiful, because at the same time on the same time, people say, well, you know, Trump won, stop complaining. Are you going to stop complaining? The Professor now that Trump won, like no, because it's still there, but it still doesn't answer the basic question of Kate, Professor Clements, you pointed out two obvious things.

We didn't see the white vans dumping ballots in Pennsylvania. We didn't see the F curve in Trump's races in 2024 but he wasn't president. So how the world would he have the authority to have someone come in for the good guys and stop it from happening? He'd have to be like the COMMANDER IN CHIEF. And so, I asked you a question, *can you be the COMMANDER IN CHIEF and not the president?*

Yeah, I would submit to you that you can under certain circumstances. Now, some of you that are like. Dave, this is where I'm getting nervous. Could you play cell number four for me?

Page 18 (1:05:09 – 1:05:47) President Trump

"45, 46 and 47 Yeah, they come in. I just don't want the credit for 46 they indict their political opponent. And what that does is it sets off a chain of events that's very dangerous in future years, it could happen to them very easily. The reason crooked Joe and his thugs are trying so hard to stop me is that in my first term, I disrupted all of it. Oh, did I disrupt? Was that that was called major disruption. And in my second term, which was sort of having now, but I don't want the results, right?"

David Clements 1:05:46

Okay, leave that up as I like admiring this beautiful man when said what he just said right there. **This was actually during the campaign, when Biden was president. “What do you mean? We're in our second term?”** Yeah.

I just don't want the results. **In the first video, he's actually talking to military generals at Quantico, and he flat out, said, I'm the 45th 46 and 47** Okay, so people like, okay, maybe the 25th amendment is what's necessary if I want but folks, what you cannot do is walk past statements like that. You know the occasions. **Do you have any other data points? Yeah, I'm gonna go even be a big one.**

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

(1:06:48 – Page 19)

Close that out. And you guys remember Butler? Yeah, give me that that map right there. Now, he showed this chart, and it makes really no sense. I've looked at this chart here, and he showed rally after Raul after rally. In fact, while he was getting shot, at what chart was appointed to before Butler? The one that he says saved his life.

Well, he said that this chart saved his life before Butler, what do you mean? **And I look at the chart in the bottom right-hand corner on April 1, 2020, it says Trump leaves office.** Now, if you think that's a typo or a mistake, I have confirmed that this chart wasn't shown in just one rally and an intern wasn't fired because they did a Typo. This has been at every one of his rallies, and it says Trump leaves office. Now some of you are going, well, let's see if we can find a fair interpretation of what exactly that means; **Trump leaves office.**

Because I like you, saw him serve out the rest of the term. In 2020 I saw him leave. I saw the puppet get installed, named Biden. So, one of the me, what do you mean? He left office?

Well, **during the RNC event in 2024 which happened about a week or so after the butler assassination attempt, after he praises God, he's got the bandage on his ear. He brings the same exact chart of it and puts it on at least 12 giant, big screen monitors.** And I'm in there. I'm at the RNC at this one in those ones, and it has this same red arrow that says Trump leaves office, and he actually says something; **“Oh, by the way, I left office that week.”**

Check the recording, find the RNC acceptance speech by Trump, and you can hear him say, I left office. Like, again, what are you talking about? So, there's this thing called **CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT**, and it can be triggered in events where your government has been captured, devastated, eliminated. It was a cold war Document that really contemplated a nuclear event where, let's say that the Russians or the Soviets nuke Washington, DC, and you've effectively lost huge swaths of your government. What happens?

There's a restructuring that takes place, and basically the president reverts, if he's alive, into **COMMANDER IN CHIEF** mode, and he's working with the military, and you can look it up, called **Directive 40**. And the things that trigger Directive 40 are huge events, like a bomb dropping in DC, or things like a bio weapon. So, **2020 Do we have a bio weapon? And according to Dr Peter McCullough's projections, we have at least 17 million people, three times the number of the Holocaust that were murdered by this vile weapon that's all.** Also had US involvement, because you had, I think, is it **Peter Dazak**, who is dealing, **dealing with gain of function**, experimentation, confirmation of that, yes, it did come from **Wuhan China, China**, so under Directive 40, you have the legal predicate to initiate A CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT framework, because we're under attack.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Now, remember what President Trump told you, we need to **open up the country by Easter**. We have to open up the country by Easter. So, keep in mind, this is around April 1 2020. **Did any state government comply with the orders of a wartime president? No, none did.**

And what did he (CIC Trump) start using his Twitter account for? Right out the gate, he starts telling you the Democrats were going to rig the election using mail in ballots. How did he know that? And there's at least six or seven posts where he is like Nostradamus, telling you exactly how things are going to go down to rig the election, as if he knew what's going to happen. And so now you got a sting operation.

Okay? So, if this is fanciful for you, you **explain Joe Biden to me**, let's, let's look. Let's talk about this. I've got all you know, this brain power to try to cover Lincoln, and I'm looking at the laws, and I'm getting you actual sources who can check to look at these cases. I'm making it up.

I have done nothing in the ‘Red Pill’ department that compares to you. Joe Biden, yeah. So, some anecdotes to consider: Under **CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT**, the **COMMANDER IN CHIEF** would basically retain power and control over hardened national critical and infrastructure like the military. For the first time in recent memory, was Biden able to deploy the hardened military anywhere during a four-year term, after 20 years in Afghanistan. That might give you pause.

What did he do with the Jordan military in Afghanistan? Nothing. He bauched it. Where's his power? All the power in the Biden regime was through money laundering through Ukraine.

So are we connecting dots here. Yeah. So, you know, I don't know how far this goes. I'm not telling you then, you know, and everything is crazy, but I'm telling **you can't walk past the president, telling you that you left office when he did. You can't walk past the president at Quantico in front of the military, saying he was the 45th 46 and 47 president.**

You can't walk past that, folks. And then accounts for so much if he's working with a wartime framework, can we close that out? I think, I think we hit all of those boxes. So, here's where I want to take this. **When I met Trump**, I had just went through hell, because here I am this prestigious professor, and a week after that, and Trump, I'm terminated from my dream job, I'm canceled.

I get six investigations distributing my license, not one, not two, not three, six. I have been fighting for a license I can't use for six years. Google my name. There's over 1000 articles that sought to destroy me. So, when I go out and try to have an impact in my community, I have coffee and people come up to me and they look like they want to spit on me.

I've got my son coming in to my house, and he looks depressed. And I go, hey, you okay, and he doesn't want to tell me that the kid that he was playing with his mom Googled me and

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

forbade him from playing with my son because of who I am. That's my life. So, if tonight's or today's presentation, might have a twinge of hopium, I have earned my hopium. This is not me coming here telling you that the President is going to save me.

This is coming from a guy who has scanned 10s of 1000s of ballots in actual audits. This is coming from a guy that defended Jeff Lemberg, one of our nation state experts in the curly view Athens murder deposition against 20 other attorneys. One of the law firms is Morrison Forster, which has four offices at Communist China. You tell me you've got been a deposition with 20 other attorneys on the other side, and it's just you and your client. So, I've done that.

I filed lawsuits. I fought and fought and fought and fought. So, am I crazy? Am I on autopilot? Am I watching a movie? Am I eating popcorn? God no, because I've seen great people be destroyed in this movement. Tina Peters being one of them. Yeah, it's real what she's going through. Where is this? Do you we'll get to that moment while I'm cooking.

My dear friend Joel, who infiltrated a call with Antifa, who heard Eric Coomer say out loud, don't worry about the election. I made f'ing sure that Trump's going to lose. Fined \$1,000 a day because he won't give up his source, because if he gives up his source, they'll murder him. So, this is the world that I live; death threats, potential disbarment. So, when I come here and I give you this stuff, and it may be fantastical, it may be the greatest, you know, theorizing or postulating of a possibility, **I wouldn't give this to you if I didn't think it was right, or there's some version of this that's right. So back to my meeting: I'm at Bedminster, Trump turns to me, what do we do?**

So, I've shared a lot with you. Going back to this meeting, what do we do? Are you sure? Are you sure all the judges are bad? **Under Article Two, you don't use Pam Bondi.**

Under Article Two, you don't use Todd Blanche. So, we're all looking at the fecklessness of nothing happening - No arrests again. Do you see how massive a pull this. This is Art of War.

Everyone's distracted looking at at jurisdiction and courtrooms that aren't suited for this Article II Military Commissions. This is how you take on captured intelligence agencies, not a RICO case, perhaps some movie tried in the Civil War system. Do I have talking points or anecdotes for that? Yes, I do; **600 JAG officers were sworn in throughout the nation under the auspices of doing immigration law.**

Speaker 1 1:17:53

Unknown comment

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

David Clements 1:17:58

I've done immigration law as a prosecutor. That dealt with the cartel. Lot of the people that come over murder from our US citizens, there's about three cases you have to master in order to get through the immigration proceeding. You don't get people that are trained in courts martial to lighten up your docket on work that, frankly, a monkey can do. 20 JAGs were sworn in in DC to help with misdemeanor vagrancy trespass cases.

Jeannine Pirro, we're overworked; we need the JAG officers. We need military officers to help us. You know how you cut your teeth as an attorney? Right out of law school they get you misdemeanor vagrancy trespass cases, because you could screw them up, and it's not the end of the world.

Public Defenders, yeah, that's not even happening. What else do I have? Well, back to the War Powers case. **You understand, in order for Lincoln to be vindicated in suspending the writ of habeas corpus, he had to be fighting against active rebellion and invasion.** Those were illegal predicates.

For him to withstand scrutiny. From an historical standpoint, he's fighting against Confederates with muskets shooting at our countrymen. **Have we not had an invasion in our country?** So let's connect some dots at the border. **How many invaded our country? Rough estimate, 10 to 20 to 40 million illegal invaders** came over and were flown to strategic places, on Spirit Airlines, everything else.

Now think about this. That's, that's called a digital it's not just it's, there's a physical invasion, but there's also a **digital invasion**, which might have been lost. **The digital invasion is this, many of the people that cross over want to work. So where do they go?**

You have to at least get an identification card at a bare minimum to get employment for any HR office, even the ones that will look the other way. For illegals, you got to have at least some paperwork for accounting. So, what happens when you go into a DMV in America and do any business, **you're automatically added to the voter rolls under the motor voter Act** signed by Bill Clinton in the early 90s, and at one point it was illegal to determine the citizenship of those that came in and conducted DMV business. So now you got a credit line of 10 to 20 to 40 million people that are illegal invaders, rebellion, invasion, legal predicates. Now what happens is that a voter ID number is assigned to those that are on the voter rolls, and when you want to talk about how we're losing our elections, bad actors are generating ballots that are tied to a voter ID number by 10s of 1000s, by 100s of 1000s.

And I'm here to tell you **the illegals aren't voting in your elections. I defy you to find some guy that can't speak English is risking going into an election office, going through the problems.** Have you actually seen it? But that doesn't mean their ballot isn't being voted for them.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Okay, am I making this up?

John Solomon had just reported it folks, about what happened with a spot visit with a congressional delegation the day after the November 2024 election, and I got to meet with a high-level person that was part of that delegation about four days after the election. I got to see the video evidence that substantiated the after data that Solomon reported on about showing up and the industrial size ballot printing machines were still on printing ballots after the election, they're being placed on pallets by 10s of thousands, loaded onto trucks by non-English speakers. The CEO of Runbreck (Election Services) was trying to tell everyone to put their cameras away. I got to see that. It's pretty exciting.

So now you've got a seditious conspiracy, treason, invasion, rebellion. You have everything that Trump needs to target, wherever he needs to go, suspended habeus corpus if he needs to. I'm not even sure that he needs to, because if he's triggered CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT, he has legally checked off all the boxes, step by step. Some of you should be asking yourself, David, can he have troops policing civilians? Yes.

Well, yes and no, the answer is no, unless, because we have this thing called the Posse Comatatus Act, which prohibits anyone using the military to police civilians. And we saw National Guard where? Minneapolis, Portland, California, it wasn't just federal installations, but they were out there. You saw what happened in Minneapolis was the street in which Renee Good was shot. Was that an ice facility?

No. So it's illegal unless what? The Insurrection Act has been initiated, would be one answer. I'm not sure you need to trigger the insurrection act if you if you've activated CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT, number one. Number two, on Trump's first day of office, he started signing all of the executive orders, hundreds of executive orders, right?

One of the executive orders was to combat the invasion, and if you actually look at what's in that executive order, it perfectly satisfies the legal requirements of the insurrection Act, which means he's done nothing illegal with the deployment of the military to police Civilians. Want some more? Well, this was challenged in court, and the Supreme Court appeared to slap Trump on the hand, saying you can't use National Guard. They said you got to follow the ordinary course of things. And everyone thought that that was averted against Trump.

But if you actually read the decision, the ordinary course means you use the Marines before you go to the National Guard. And Trump, what did he do? You put out a Truth post. He says, I'm gonna take out the National Guard and you know, Portland and California. But when crime returns, not if, when we're gonna get back better than ever.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Well, I get the sense that when you send the Marines, it's gonna be a lot better for a lot of people, terrorists, who's ahead of the game once, folks, he is. **He's the master of the rope-a-dope, yeah.** And so everything that'll bet that if you guys want to, like, my job isn't like, get the tin foil hats today. My job as a law professor is to say, is it legally possible for him to do what he's doing, and is there historical predicate for what we're seeing. **Tribunals.**

Let's end on this, because I love tribunals. Dave, you have any examples of tribunals? Well, go back to Lincoln. There was this thing called the Dakota War, and he had enemy belligerents, and **a single tribunal in 37 days tried 397 individuals. One tribunal in 37 days: 397 or 96 convictions.**

Now we got more than 395 or 96 bad people in the swamp. Can we scale that? You go to a courthouse in your capital of Idaho; you probably have 20 or 30 or 40 active courts every day. You can easily try 15,000, any belligerents through a commission. And the reason why that's preferable is that the due process rights of the accused are not held in a premium.

No, they'll tell you it is. But like as a prosecutor, prosecuting a case in my world is tough. You have all kinds of rights. As the defendant, you're entitled to everything, all discovery. **In a commission, you don't get anything that's classified or presents a national security threat, and this is all national security.**

You can try six people at a time and go out for lunch and you're done. And you don't need a unanimous verdict. In my system everyone had to agree. With commissions you have a panel, and you just need a majority vote that my fools is shooting fish in a barrel. That's how you take on Obama.

That's how you take on Comey. So, I end with this. You wonder why I'm optimistic yet tired? I think we're on the cusp of an ending that I don't didn't believe was possible. And if I'm wrong, come November, invite me back and throw vegetables at me.

But if I'm right, maybe buy me a beer and we can have what you celebrate. So last, last thing is still in the office, and I said, **Mr. President, as you sit there, you're the most powerful man in the country, whether or not someone stole an election by virtue my legal our legal votes, you're the Command in Chief. But you have to help me. I've lost everything.**

You can't tell me that Mike Lindell my my dear friend who I just rode on a plane and was almost late because he had to go to Kentucky Fried Chicken. Don't tell me that Mike Lindell has something that's cyber that you don't have the guy that created the space force. Now, do you think I got an answer? I'm not read in. He just looked at me, yeah.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

And so, I said, Mr. President, I trust I'm gonna trust in something. You see, I told you, if there's a courtroom to file a lawsuit in, I don't know where it is. Talk about being pitched for employment. I don't know what to do.

I'm just going to go out in the court of public opinion, and I'm going to awaken as many hearts and minds as I can, and I'm going to trust that when the time is right, you're going to meet We the People on the new. I think he's about to meet us in the middle, folks. And even though, you know, because I caught the end of Doc Frank's video, where I'm here to give you back your country, right, the two foundational problems of Trump was we caught them all, and I'm giving you back your country. We haven't caught them with that. We haven't seen hangings, we haven't seen shoes, we haven't seen firing squad, so we haven't seen the accountability.

I don't feel good about that yet, and I don't feel like he's handed me in yet from an election standpoint, let's be honest. In 2020, if you would have secured the machines, seized them all under legitimate legal authority, were you ready to take the baton from him and run an election? Voter, absolutely not. Are you ready now? I think we are.

So, the biggest enemy that he faced from day one wasn't whether you could take something and prosecute this person. It was our brainwashing that we that we were succumb to for generations, **and he had four years of nothing but pain to wake you up.** And now, as I stand here wrapping up this speech, **you distrust Big Pharma more than ever. You're smarter than your doctors. You just you distress the election machines.** You know more than your clerks do. You know more than big tech, big media. **You are awake and you're ready to go the distance, like our founders. For what's going to make it all go it's not trauma, it's not us, it's the divine plan that God has set forth, and he's given us the conditions for restoration. Second, Chronicles, 7:14, national repentance.**

And on May 17, which marks the anniversary of May 17, 1776, where our revolutionary leaders did the same call, they confessed their national sins, and the country was born. I submit to you that when we fulfill these covenant conditions in our own country, you're going to see the change when that happens. Now it's a day of prayer. I don't know if it's going to be full blown confession, but I'll tell you this. In order for confession or repentance to work, you have to know what you're confessing.

And I think Epstein is going to help us with that - full disclosure. And John Solomon said what? Operation Hypersonic Clarity is at hand. The largest and biggest, declassification of everything gross, everything disgusting, we're going to be flooded with information where, Lord willing, we will run, fly, jump, skip, to the National Mall and be there for a rededication of this country to God Almighty, for the rebirth of a nation. And with that I am done.

Thank you for having me. God bless you.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

I was there, Frank?, there's some views? I that was a bit of a week. That's the way my mind works. That's why I don't have PowerPoints because I can't go in order. But do we want to entertain questions? Glenn: I think we have a few minutes. I mean, we can do that so' yeah, Good. Roving mic.

David: Okay, any questions and guys, let me just say this. Make sure these are questions. If it's a monolog, if you tell me what you think and seeing what I think about it, don't do that to me. Please. Don't know. I hate it. A good, a good late framer on Article Two, a good late runner for Non, non trace, okay, printer for Article Two, guys, we have entered the age of AI with Grok and Chat GPT, these tools, if you're willing to pay, like 10 bucks for them are revolutionary. But the case that I cited on Article Two with respect to war powers is the Youngstown steel decision, Justice Jackson's concurrence.

Audience Guest 1:33:40

Youngstown, thank you. Okay, hello. Nayib Bukele, president of El Salvador, said in a post, you have to impeach the judges. **Is it likely because you say the courts are so bad that we can get rid of the judges?**

David Clements 1:33:58

Yes. So, Trump put out a Truth post about those that are cheating and interfering in our elections will be prosecuted, this includes the lawyers and the judges. So, if he's a man of his word, yes, if he's a liar and a scoundrel and worthy of a 25th Amendment, we're all in trouble. But I don't think he is. Interesting.

Audience Guest 1:34:21

Thank you, David. So, **if I understood your presentation, the cast vote records are useless because they are corrupt.** Well, they're good for evidence. You could prove corruption, but they're useless in acting as an account needs an auditable tool?

David Clements 1:34:39

No, they're absolutely vile. What you'll find is that Cast Vote Records are now, in the end, altered and scrambled in ways that lessens utility. Look, it's always useful to tell the

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

truth and point to tools that give us the truth. Does that lead to legal, legal outcome that's helped us in a discernible way? That issue is a corruption issue, it's not a it's not a cast vote record issue, but to the degree when I come to places like Idaho and I, and I used to do technical analysis of nothing but how elections are terrible. Some smart ass instead of praying going well that thing that you showed us, is that happening in Idaho, or is that happening in Washington, and I have to honestly tell you, I haven't seen the cast vote record I don't know. But my response is this, you don't know either. Give me this, this cast vote record summary, and we'll find out.

We can't get them in Montana, because they claim that because of the special districts, they can shrink our numbers down to one or two people in a special district, and therefore we could actually identify who's voting for whom? Yeah, so that came up in our audit in Otero County, and we just send fine.

Tell you what, any place that's got such a small number of voters we all redacted, but for purposes of a statistical analysis like, look, we can go to any place for got more than five people in a precinct. It's a moot issue. So go ahead and feed your areas where we could actually determine who that person is, and we'll go to 99.9% of all the other places where a town of all and then they didn't have an answer. But yeah, so

Audience Guest 1:36:22

Professor, thanks for being here. We have seen your movie. Let my people go. And pisses me off every time I watch it. **But I just cannot fathom how the government got away with just put the people away like that. They've been trial. Can you give us an update on those folks? Are they still interred?**

David Clements 1:36:42

OK, so, **the good news is that on day one of Trump's swearing in in 2025 mind you did Trump put his hand on the Bible? Okay, does that make him the Antichrist? Whoa, or does it perhaps explain that, that you have an oath that conflicts with CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT?** just another little vehicle. Yeah, so this is what happened. The vast majority were released.

There are about four or five that stayed in, but they had underlying state charges that were Cardinal wars. And then you had about 14 that didn't get pardons, but they had commuted sentences in which affect them are veterans. Well, **you'll notice, within the past 48 hours, 72 hours, the Department of Justice entered into a, an agreement with our J Sixers that had their their sentences commuted, and they filed a motion for the judge's signature to**

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

dismiss and vacate all of their charges. Now that's huge. If the judge signs off, that means that Stuart Rhodes, a military veteran, could get disability and get his pension and all those things back.

Jamie Raskin was scrambling to make that not happen to filing amicus briefs as friends of the court. But if that happens, what would stop a motion to do that for every J6-er? Think about that. Now you'll have any convicted felons that were pardoned by by an agreement from the department justice and a judge. You can't have these people that were wronged and not have that on the record.

So, we'll see how that unfolds. But right now, we got the surprise victory that's huge at the Department of Justice. We said, Judge, we agree with that. Let's, let's not pardon them. Let's treat them as if they were never convicted in the first place.

Audience Guest 1:38:37

Could you give an update on Tina Peters? Yes, so people need...

David Clements 1:38:40

Yeah, so Tina, her condition is more or less the same. There have been arguments that were recently heard in which the Court of Appeals vacated her sentencing, but they didn't vacate the charges. So, here's the bad news. It goes back to the same tyrant sentencing judge that sentenced her to nine years. I would be shocked if he gave her time served, which would be the right thing to do.

Actually, it wouldn't be the right thing to do. The right thing to do would be to line him up and shoot him. But if we don't get that, that's something you do. Now, here's the good news. It's still, right?

The writ of certiorari appeals the areas where they ruled against on vacating the charges altogether, having a resentencing trial, that's what they really want, **if you get to a place where you vacate the charges, she's released**. So right now, they're dragging their feet. There should have been an expedited motion for immediate resentencing, so she's not in there. We haven't gotten a date yet, so they might take some time they want her to die in that prison. Jared Polis has talked about this. You know, commuting a sentence, but we got a problem there. So, my take on Tina is what I share with Teresa. It might not be satisfactory to you all, but I think God wants her there, and hear me out. You've read your Bibles. Familiar with the story of Joseph, he was thrown in the pit, but ordered the end of in the palace, and it was the suffering that qualified him to have this great testimony.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

I think the suffering that Tina is going under is going to present prove the graph for her to be an icon in civil rights going forward. So, if God is being tough enough on Tina to put her there, you got to trust that he's going to sustain her. And when he talked to her on the phone, visit her. Her faith is remarkable; she's had people attack her. It's death threats.

All I can tell you is that Trinis Evans, Peter Tickton and the attorneys have filed every form of challenge to have bond reconsidered, get her out. One of the best argues that I've heard is, if you have her prepared as a federal whistleblower, you can move her from facility. But the idea is like, well, what does that do for us if she's still in a federal penitentiary to protect me, I've seen cases where you can be placed under house arrest, still a crew can find credit. And wouldn't it be great we just put her like in the nicest house there is, while she's got access to people. But that's the latest update from per Tina.

Any other questions? **And the other slogan from Comner, you did the audit? Euro Somnus, um, stud Logan in Arizona did the audit from Florida for this, and I think it was something ninja, cyber ninja, yeah. Have you heard any more about is he going to get any restitution, or any under mill, whatever it would hold him?**

Um, okay. I have to try to be diplomatic. Look, I'm a prosecutor of art and I think the audit that my wife and I with our team did was the only one that went from start to finish and got a vote to get rid of machines, vote to get rid of drop boxes in the vote to sue the Secretary of State. We did that from the beginning that and because we wouldn't let people infiltrate our team. And so the cost of our audit in New Mexico was the cost of the air condition bill for the Coliseum for one day.

So, what did we see? And we saw a spectacle. It saw ballots moving from locations, which destroys chain of custody. There were so many problems with that audit. And it's not to say there were great auditors and good people involved, but they're, they're already, we're talking about a nation state coup.

And so intelligence of, you know, agents that are that are crooked and start deploying bad actors that subverts the process. And so, what did we get at the end of that audit, which gives, again, great information, they did a recount, and they said the numbers match. Well, if you saw my film, they broke the seals on almost all the boxes, and most of the paper was counterfeit. So, who cares if you've got the same number of ballots after a recount of counting fake ballots? Do I think that Doug Logan, will, you know, be rehabbed?

How much you know visit is full? I don't know. I call. I can tell you is that I saw the original draft of their report, and it called for decertification and it used words like fraud in their board. A day later, all of the scrubs, and I challenged him on that, I challenged Doug on it.

And so, I don't know what I thought many basically saved himself, and it's understandable, but we, the people that are in this movement, were hoping that the mayor provada would be the

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

domino the fell, and then we would have had justice, but it didn't work out that way. But look, there's something I shared with you tonight. Look, there might be a happening even further, I hope, and I hope that that includes Doug. You're welcome.

Any other questions? We got one up over here.

Audience Guest 1:44:19

We Yeah, yes. Hi, **I have a question about the money. So, act blue. I know Trump has tried to interdict the money coming from Venezuela, will he be able to cut off the foreign money coming to the US elections?**

David Clements 1:44:38

If what Scott Bessent is doing right now is any effort of whether he can do it? I'd say yes. My hypothesis of late is okay. Why does need us do everything we want on the election? And that's a great question, but you got to consider this.

The stuff that's going on in Iran is so relevant to our elections, the Iranian foreign minister flew to Caracas and watched the overthrow of our government with Maduro in 2020 and who was extracted from Venezuela by Delta Force? Maduro, who was this taco Iran? Now Iran, in my humble opinion, is not a real country. I not being facetious, they are a proxy for the banking elite boys of London, City of London, and they dictate certain pressures on the world. So this is what they do. Whenever a country wants to step up and assert nationalism and get away from a New World Order framework, or door was framework, they start lifting their insurance coverage of the ships in the Strait of Hormuz, the prices go on your grocery shelves jazz palm, and everyone freaks out and they point the finger at whoever's in charge would be Trump. So, every president, even if they were well intentioned, were brought to heel by the simple act of the City of London lifting insurance and ships don't move. And he said, enough of this.

So, what did he do? **He said, how in the world are you insuring ships? He used the US Navy. He said, willing. True, and they found out that there's a paper trail with respect to financing from the Bank of England to commanding Yeah, so Ken Starmer and these guys are in big trouble.**

So, there's it's speculation that an executive order could be coming out of Scott Bessent's office to cancel and freeze accounts from foreign agents that have banking interest in the United States. You would stop the full flow of money everywhere. Now you can fight elections because they can't re up with resources. Everything's for you. Everything's froze.

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

So, when we would do drug cases with drug trafficking organizations, we would always seize their funds through the RICO proceedings. So, this looks like textbook seizing funds everywhere, and then all of a sudden, whether they want to fight or not, they just can't fund it. It's like their protest. They clock in. They leave at two o'clock PM. They don't stick around because they're not really best in you know what they're protesting.

So all I can say is I've seen just enough on Scott Bessent on seizing money that was going to Somalia from all of the billions of dollars of fraud. It looks like he's doing the same thing in Iran. And if you're willing to take on the banking elite, we're talking about the like the Rothschilds. If you're willing to do that, I wouldn't be too worried about ruffling feathers on elections.

Speaker 1 1:47:45

Let's just my executive order. 838, money are did that freezing as fast? 13818, so there's an executive order. Okay, great. Since December 20 of 2017 he's been seizing assets.

David Clements 1:48:06

And was that renewed during Biden? Yes, it's still there. Okay, that's another, that's another data point in the coming of the governor. Well, I do it. I covered a lot.

Audience Guest 1:48:17

Um, I just first want to thank you, because I, just loved your explanation of prom video, CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT explanation, I've kind of heard of that, but you really put it in perspective and Doc candidate. And I think that's going to be really helpful. I'm going to copy this video and send it out to certain people who need to see it. But anyway, okay, I have a, you know, since you seem to have an idea of how Trump thinks and how he doesn't always do things straightforward, **there's a lot of concern in Idaho, because he has come out way early and endorsed a horrendous governor at a horrendous design governor, and then you could just give insight into Trump's thinking. I'm not worried about, okay,**

David Clements 1:49:04

No, it's a great question. So, so guys, I want to be clear that these are my opinions. I am not read in. I don't have the bat and ball, right move gestures, Jefferson's head open, and I tell him to the president who. **I think I'm right, and if I'm not right, I'm in the ballpark, or it's my sense.**

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

But with endorsements and the messaging, there's actually a behavioral therapy study that talks about disorientation disruption that's used as a means to break and brainwash people. So, when you look at Trump's Truth posts, what is he doing? He's disorienting everyone, jarring them. He's controversial, but give him enough time, and then usually he kind of stands vindicated, right so right now we're all like, what in the world is going on with Tucker and Alex Jones and Candace and the Pope and everything else. So, you have to understand, this is a this is a battle for it, for your minds, your sanity, common sense and so that let I'm so I'm looking at his post.

I never take him at face value. I'm sitting there go, what are you trying to achieve? Really? What are you trying to alert us to? Is probably the better question of taking them at face value.

So, your question was really focusing on something that's slightly different. And so go ahead and tell me one more time. **Endorsements. Oh, endorsements.** Get you endorse them.

Okay, so if, if I'm right about CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT, if I'm right about military tribunals, let me ask you this, if we, as ours, retain the House and the Senate, is that a victory? No. Guys, wait, we open this with the SAVE AMERICA Act, and we can't get a vote with the existing uniporn. Another question in 2020 because all of them were based through there, how many US senators and congressmen actually called out and said out loud that the election was written stolen? So why would we think that keeping these treasonous bastards in office would equate to a victory?

So, when, when I, when I think through those questions, and I go, why is he endorsing terrible people if you set a trap and you need to drain the swamp and create the emergency vacancies, emergency elections. Why would you want good people caught up in the middle of it. what I mean, that's just my thinking. Like, if, like, if I'm serious, like, let him keep endorsing the toilet.

Now can special elections be held? Data point would be Greg Danes through the recall election in the early 2000s in California, and Arnold Schwarzenegger showed up, I think September on the Jay Leno Tonight Show announced in two months later he was governor of the ninth largest economy in the world, so I would love to see a vacancy through scandals like what happened to Swalwell?

Well, maybe it's done to Swalwell. Well, maybe it's hundreds of vehicles and we're gonna have to force to clean election where people like Kari Lake don't have to go through a grueling two-year campaign. Perhaps she gets this special election. Well, yeah, again, I don't, I don't know that. I just know that there's historical predicate for short term special elections.

So, if he's endorsing people that suck my ideas, if I'm wrong about all of this, and we don't have tribunals, we're all in trouble. Any other questions? Yes, sir, this gentleman

“Meeting Trump in the Middle: Restoring Elections” – Professor David Clements

Recorded in Coeur d’Alene, Idaho, April 18th, 2026

Audience Guest 1:52:59

We have to be one of the last one last questions. Can you reverse path, right? If, I think so, if you are right, if you if you are right about all these things, and I there's a possibility the left isn't going to go down if the roles were reversed, the administration was reversed, the right wouldn't go down without fighting. **So, do you see like a civil war coming out of this?**

David Clements 1:53:38

I think this, this question is a great one to end with, because you've just answered why Trump didn't do what we wanted to do in 2020 which is if he would have seized everything with our nationwide brainwashing, you would have had massive civil war, because enough people believe he was a tyrant trying to seize and hold on to power. Now, four years later, after multiple assassination attempts, indictments, being a convicted felon and all of us are looking at the evaluation of Mar A Lago, everything goes. He is so sympathetic with hip hop crowds, youth, Hispanics. He has removed the one thing that would extend a kinetic Civil War type dynamic, and has allowed ourselves to neutralize threats very quickly. That's very different.

So before, when we were all confused and we didn't have four years to meditate on this. There's probably a lot of people that would say, years of time, let's take out Trump. But we did it because something saved his life. The CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT frameworks would save his life, folks, that's what I think. So, do I think Civil War is likely? Yes, but quick. And I mean, watch out. So, I'm like, look what he's telegraphed to you. What happened with Maduro, and you had security detail from hardened parriott military terrorist.

They were using Sonic Weapons. People were bleeding from the nose, shitting their pants for crying out loud, and they had no ability to fight back against our military. Why do you think he's releasing that information when you ever got report in your entire life about something that Delta Force has participated in?

Usually we use the Seals, or we were told that the Delta Force, we know exists, but we never talk about Delta Force. We're talking about now.

That's not for me; it's not for you. It's for enemies and people that fund our enemies that you want to go to war. It's going to be over before it's starting. So that's my opinion. I'm sticking to his foot, but I think we're done to I'll take questions privately in the back.

I guess Ron told all right, God bless y'all.